123 Washington Street Columbus, Indiana 47201 Phone: (812) 376-2550 Fax: (812) 376-2643





CITY OF COLUMBUS BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS (September 23, 2014 Meeting)

STAFF REPORT

Docket No. / Project Title: C/DS-14-27 (Ricker's Gas Station)

Staff: Melissa Begley

Applicant: Ricker's Oil
Property Size: 3.74 Acres

Current Zoning: CC (Commercial: Community)

Location: South side of SR 58, approximately 1,000 feet west of I-65, in the City of

Columbus.

Background Summary:

The applicant has indicated that the proposed variance from Zoning Ordinance Section 7.3(Part 2)(A) is for the purpose of requesting relief from installing a public sidewalk along the SR 58 frontage of the subject property.

Preliminary Staff Recommendation:

Approval, all criteria have been met.

Zoning Ordinance Considerations:

District Intent: The intent of the CC (Commercial: Community) zoning district is as follows: to establish appropriate locations for a variety of businesses providing a variety of goods and services to (1) community-wide consumers and (2) those who travel through or visit the area. This zoning district is not intended for use along traffic corridors, but should instead be applied at significant intersections along major transportation routes.

Development Standards: Zoning Ordinance Section 7.3(Part 2)(A) states that all development in Multi-Family Residential, Commercial, Public/Semi-Public, and Industrial zoning districts shall provide public sidewalks in all adjoining street and road rights-of-way.

Current Property Information:		
Land Use:	Undeveloped	
Site Features:	Grassy field, gravel driveway to an adjacent home	
Flood Hazards:	No flood hazards exist on this property.	
Vehicle Access:	SR 58 (Arterial, Industrial, Suburban)	

Surrounding Zoning and Land Use:				
	Zoning:	Land Use:		
North:	CC (Commercial: Community) I-1 (Industrial: Light)	Marathon Gas Station Cummins Industrial Facility		
South:	AP (Agriculture: Preferred)	Large lot single family residential		
East:	AP (Agriculture: Preferred)	Large lot single family residential		
West:	CC (Commercial: Community)	Vacant		

Interdepartmental Review:	
City Engineering:	No comments received.
City Utilities:	No comments received.

Planning Consideration(s):

The following general site considerations, planning concepts, and other facts should be considered in the review of this application:

- The site is currently an undeveloped parcel of land. The applicants are interested in developing this
 property into a gas station and are requesting to waive the requirement to install sidewalks along SR
 58
- 2. State Road 58 is an Arterial, Industrial, Suburban Street that connects International Drive with Interstate I-65. This road is largely used by industrial traffic to and from the Woodside Northwest and Woodside South Industrial parks from I-65.
- 3. The nearest sidewalk is located 1.8 miles northeast of the subject property along CR 175 West at the Bartholomew County REMC site.
- 4. The City of Columbus Comprehensive Plan recognizes sidewalks as an integral part of the City's transportation network. Policy A-2-15 of the Plan encourages sidewalks in all areas of the community and urges their installation in new developments.
- 5. Several properties along SR 58 have been developed without sidewalks, likely because sidewalks were not required at the time of development. A comprehensive, specifically designed project will likely be required to create a network of sidewalks in this area.

Provisional Findings of Fact/Decision Criteria:

The Board of Zoning Appeals may approve or deny variances from the development standards of the City of Columbus Zoning Ordinance. The Board may impose reasonable conditions as part of an approval. A variance from the development standards may only be approved upon a determination in writing that:

1. The approval will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community.

Provisional Findings: The absence of sidewalks along the frontage of the subject property will not be injurious to the public health or safety of the community. The nearest sidewalk is over 1.8 miles away and therefore omitting a sidewalk at this location will not create a gap in the existing pedestrian system. This criterion has been met.

2. The use and value of the area adjacent to the property included in the variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner.

Provisional Findings: Adjacent properties on SR 58 do not have public sidewalks. An absence of a sidewalk will therefore not create a gap in the existing sidewalk network. The use and value of the adjacent properties will not be affected. *This criterion has been met.*

3. The strict application of the terms of the zoning ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. This situation shall not be self-imposed, nor be based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.

Provisional Findings: Due to the existing developed properties that do not have sidewalks, the installation of sidewalks in this area will only likely be established with a comprehensive street or trail improvement project. *This criterion has been met.*

Board of Zoning Appeals Options:

In reviewing a request for <u>development standards variance</u> the Board may (1) approve the petition as proposed, (2) approve the petition with conditions, (3) continue the petition to a future meeting of the Board, or (4) deny the petition (with or without prejudice). Failure to achieve a quorum or lack of a positive vote on a motion results in an automatic continuance to the next regularly scheduled meeting.

Columbus – Bartholomew County Planning Department
Development Standards Variance Application

Dovolopinom Gtandardo V		ECEN					
Planning Department Use Only:							
Jurisdiction: Columbus Bartholomew County	Planning Department Use Only: Jurisdiction: Columbus Bartholomew County Zoning: By: MB+ EP						
Zoning:	BY: W	BIEP					
Hearing Procedure: ☐ Hearing Officer ☐ Board of Zoning Appeals							
Development Standards Variance Application:		ISAN KINAT ISINGAN PERSENDIAN PENSENDIAN ISINGAN BERSAMAT INDINAS INTERNAS					
Applicant Information (the person or entity that will own and/or exec	ute what is proposed	i):					
Name: Ricker's Oil - Travis C. Smith							
Address 30 West 11th Street, Anderson, Indiana 46016							
(number) (street)	(city)	(state)	(zip)				
Phone No.: <u>765-643-3016</u> Fax No.: <u>765-642-7323</u>	_ E-mail Address:	tsmith@rickers.net					
Property Owner Information (the "owner" does not include tenants Name: Indusites, Inc Mark A. Pratt	or contract buyers):	:					
Address 700 Washington Street, Columbus, Indiana 47201 (number) (street)	(city)	(state)	(zip)				
Phone No.: 812-376-9300 Fax No.: 812-378-1706	_ E-mail Address:	,					
Notification Information (list the person to whom all correspondence regarding this application should be directed): Name: William S. Riggert, PE, Bledsoe Riggert Guerettaz							
Address 1351 W. Tapp Road, Bloomington, Indiana 47403		<u> </u>					
(number) (street)	(city)	(state)	(zip)				
Phone No.: 812-336-8277 Fax No.: 812-336-0817	_ E-mail Address:	wriggert@brgcivil.com					
How would you prefer to receive information (please check one):	⊠ Email ☐ Ph	one					
Property Information:							
Address (number) (street)	(city)	(state)	(zip)				
(number) (Street)	(Oity)	(State)	(۲۱۲)				
<u>or</u> General Location (if no address has been assigned provide a street corne	r, subdivision lot numb	per, or attach a legal descrip	tion):				
South side of SR-58 approximately 1,000 feet west of I-65							

Variance Requested:
I am requesting a variance from Section 7.3 Part 2-A, Pedestrian Circulation of the Zoning Ordinance to allow the following:
That no sidewalks be constructed along SR-58.
Variance Request Justification:
The Indiana Code and the Columbus & Bartholomew County Zoning Ordinance establish specific criteria that must be met in order for a development standards variance to be approved. Describe how the variance request meets each of the following criteria.
The approval will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community.
There are no sidewalks that currently exist along SR-58. Constructing sidewalks along the road frontage of this property will not provide a means of pedestrain circulation to adjoing properties or beyond. Constructing a sidewalk along SR-58 may present a safty issue. Approval of this request to not require sidewalks will not be injurious to the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the community.
The use and value of the area adjacent to the property included in the variance will not be affected in a substantially adverse manner.
There should be no affect to the use and value of the area adjacent to the property if sidewalks are not constructed along SR-58; as tere are no other sidewalks to connect to.
The strict application of the terms of the Zoning Ordinance will result in practical difficulties in the use of the property. This situation shall not be self-imposed; nor be based on a perceived reduction of, or restriction on, economic gain.
The stict application of the terms of the Zoning Ordinace will require placement of sidewalk along SR-58. This will lead pedestrains to adjacent properties that do not have sidewalks and will place pedestrians in a potentially unsafe condition along SR-58 resulting in potential liability issues for the Owner of the property and business.

Application Fee Refund Information:

The adopted Planning Department Schedule of Application Fees provides for the refunding of application fees for this request if it is approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals. The refund will be provided by mail in the form of a check. It may take several weeks after the Board of Zoning Appeals approval to process the refund and issue the check. Please indicate to whom the refund should be provided:

Name: Bledsoe	Riggert Guerettaz			
Address 1351	W. Tapp Road, Bloomington, I	ndiana 47403		The second of the second of the second of the second
(numb	or) (street)	(city)	(state)	(zip)
Applicant's Sig	jnature:			
The information in	ncluded in and with this applica	ation is completely true and correct to the	best of my knowledge	and belief.
	CALLED THE STATE OF THE STATE O	acres sensitiva de conservaria esta de la conservación de la conservac	8-19-14	
(Applicant's Signate	ire)	(Date)		
Owner's Signa	ture (the "owner" does not inc	lude tenants or contract buyers):		
I authorize the fili analyzing this red the request is con	juest. Further, I will-allow a pu	llow the Planning Department staff to ent blic notice sign to be placed and remain o	er this property for the on the property until the	purpose of processing of
(Owner's Signature	& A. Prais	Pus. 8,	19/14	**
(Owner's Signature		(Date)		
(Owner's Signature	The state of the s	(Date)		

